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OPPOSE SB 572: HARVEY MILK ‘GAY DAY’ IN SCHOOLS
FILE ITEM #78

Gay activist Stuart Milk admits that SB 572 would TEACH little children to CELEBRATE the entire HOMOSEXUAL, BISEXUAL, TRANSSEXUAL agenda of Harvey Milk.

SB 572 would establish “...an annual day offering the opportunity for education and celebration of...[LGBT] diversity.” -- Stuart Milk, Aug. 26, 2009 www.bilerico.com

SB 572 would create a day that allows the open sexual indoctrination of children – kids as young as five years old. These children aren’t old enough to be taught about sex, but now they’ll be taught about same-sex “marriages,” cross-dressing and homosexual desires?

Under SB 572, these little children will be made to admire and consider for themselves the homosexual, bisexual or transsexual lifestyle that Harvey Milk advocated. And this open sexual indoctrination would be done WITHOUT parental permission.

The text of SB 572 states that “On Harvey Milk Day, exercises remembering the life of Harvey Milk, recognizing his accomplishments, and familiarizing pupils with the contributions he made to this state” shall be conducted; specifically, “all public schools and educational institutions are encouraged to observe...and...conduct suitable commemorative exercises.”

“REMEMBERING THE LIFE OF HARVEY MILK”:

- Remembering his life includes positively portraying to children Milk’s activity as a sexual predator of a 16-year-old Jack McKinley: ...sixteen-year-old old McKinley was looking for some kind of father figure...within a few weeks, McKinley moved into Harvey Milk’s Upper West Side apartment...and settled into a middle-class domestic marriage. At thirty-three, Milk was launching a new life, though he could hardly have imagined the unlikely direction toward with his new lover would pull him. (Source: Randy Shilts, The Mayor of Castro Street, pp. 30-31)

- Remembering his life includes teaching children to support Milk’s radical advocacy for having several uncommitted sexual relationships at the same time. Milk said, “As
homosexuals, we can’t depend on the heterosexual model... We should be developing our own life-style. There’s no reason why you can’t love more than one person at a time.”  
(Source: Randy Shilts, The Mayor of Castro Street, pp. 237-238)

- Remembering his life includes teaching children to positively view Milk’s practice of lying to get ahead: Harvey later told voters that despite all his accomplishments, the navy dishonorably discharged him after discovering his homosexuality....But the Harvey Milk of this era was no political activist, and according to available evidence, he played the more typical balancing act between discretion and his sex drive. (Randy Shilts, The Mayor of Castro Street, p. 16)...He had not suffered this disgrace, he told a later campaign manager, but he knew the story would make good copy. If anyone said something to Harvey about his fondness for such stunts, he would gesture wildly as launched into a lecture. “Symbols, symbols, symbols,” he insisted. Sure, he had not been kicked out of the military...The point of the story was to let people know that service people routinely do get kicked out. Besides, he once confided, “Maybe people will read it, feel sorry for me, and then vote for me.” (Randy Shilts, The Mayor of Castro Street, pp. 78-79)

NO PARENTAL PERMISSION: Schools and school districts that would have an opt-in choice to have or not have Harvey Milk Day will NOT have to send opt-in OR opt-out notices to parents. Yet polls have shown most parents oppose their children participating in “Harvey Milk Day.”

OPPOSED BY AN OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF CALIFORNIANS: In March 2009, San Francisco TV station KPIX commissioned a poll, which found that an overwhelming majority of Californians oppose creating “Harvey Milk Day.” When asked, “Do you think Harvey Milk's birthday should? Or should not? Be recognized statewide as a ‘day of significance?’” 69 percent answered “should not” and only 19 percent answered “should.” A majority of Democrats, independents, Republicans, liberals, moderates and conservatives each held that “Harvey Milk Day” should not become a statewide “day of significance,” in schools or otherwise. (Source: http://www.surveyusa.com/client/PollPrint.aspx?g=8dd73373-5bc1-434e-9df6-84de00449a71&d=0)

OVERLY BROAD: The bill is written so broadly, the pro-Harvey Milk “exercises” could include gay-pride parades on campus. The “exercises” are not defined, so the sky is the limit. Under SB 572, what will children in government schools be taught and how will children's minds be “exercised?” The answer is whatever Milk believed or is said to have believed. After all, they will be familiarizing themselves with “the life of Harvey Milk,” their new role model, who supported adult-child sex, multiple simultaneous sexual relationships, and public lying.

SB 572 ISN’T ABOUT ‘GAY RIGHTS’; IT’S AN OFFICIAL DAY OF OPENLY INDOCTRINATING CHILDREN WITH THE ‘LGBT’ AGENDA